## Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

	1. Project Ni	umber (Assigned by federal unit):OR117-03
<ol> <li>Project Name: Grave Craw</li> <li>Project Sponsor: Jim Rop</li> <li>Sponsors Phone # 541-6</li> <li>Sponsor's E-mail: jroper@</li> </ol>	per GPRA 18-2470	5.Date: <u>7/27/01</u>
8. Project Location (attach pro	oject area map)	
c. Legal Location:  Township34  Township	Name and HUC #(if ki  Range8  Range	nown): Munger Creek 17100309050218  Section(s)1 Section(s)
Township Township Township	Range	Section(s)  Section(s)
Township Township Township	Range	Section(s) _ Section(s) _ Section(s)
d. BLM District  f. National Forest  h. State / Private / Othe		

**9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives:** Install guardrails on access road. Build retainer wall, improve boat launching ramp and staging area. Grave Creek boat launch is a high use area that is inadequate for the number of users. This facility does not meet BLM health and safety standards. BLM use figures indicate approximately 15,000 boats use this facility each year. The Rogue River provides multiple recreational experiences, this is the major launching point for visitors using the Wild Section. Tourist dollars are approximately \$4,500,00.00 each year.

**10. Project Description:** (Provide concise description of project and attach map.) The first stage of the project was completed in FY 2001. The second phase will install guardrails on access the road, build retainer wall and improve boat launching ramp and staging area.

June 07, 2001

# Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?							
		Yes	×	No	If yes, then describ	e.	
<b>12.</b> ⊠ ⊠ □	Impre Imple Restor	oves r ments res and	nair stew imp	ntenan vardship proves l	project meet purposes ce of existing infrastruct probjectives that enhance land health. [Sec. 2(b)] ty. [Sec. 2(b)]	cture. [Se	
13. ☑ □ □	Road Road Other	Mainte Decom Infras Product	nand nmis truc ivity	ce [Sec. ssion/Ol ture Ma / Impro	one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)] 2(b)(2)(A)] bliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] aintenance (specify): evement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)] & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]	0	Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]  Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]  [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]  Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]  Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]
	Reest	ablish	Nati	ve Spec	n [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)] cies [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)] ecify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]:		Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]
a. 'c. ] e. ]	Fotal A No. Stru No. of I	cres: _ uctures Labore	2 s:	2 <u> </u>	d. Estimate	liles:2; ed People	5e Reached (for environmental education projects): 15,000
	Targe	et Spe	cies	Bene	and Estimated Completified (if applicable):	etion Dat	<b>te</b> [Sec. 203(b)(2)] <b>:</b> 60 days 7/01/02

### Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved? [Sec. 2(b)(3)]

Larger staging and launch facility will reduce conflict between users, relieve crowding and raise facility up to required health and safety standards

18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] Identify benefits to communities?

Improves Coho Salmon habitat, improves public safety. Public has expressed a concern.

19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?

Improves Coho Salmon habitat, water quality, reduces movement of sediment.
20. Status of Project Planning
a. NEPA Complete:  Yes  No b. If No, give est. date of completion: 2/1/02
c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable
d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable
e. Survey & Manage Complete:
f. DSL/ODFW* Permits Obtained: ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable
g. DLS/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained: ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable
h. SHPO* Concurrence Received: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
i. Project Design(s) Completed: ☐ Yes ☒ No
* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept.of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer  21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment    Solution
Yes ⊠ No
23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]
a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$75,000  b. Is this a multi-year funding request? ☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, then display by fiscal year  c. FY02 Request: \$75000 f. FY05 Request: \$  d. FY03 Request: \$ g. FY06 Request: \$  e. FY04 Request: \$

## Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

<b>Y</b> 4	Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution	Requested County Title II Contribution	Other Contributions	Total Available
Item	[Sec. 203(b)(4)]	[Sec. 203(b)(4)]	[Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys	Done			
25. NEPA & Sec.7 ESA Consultation	\$5,000			
26. Permit Acquisition	0			
27. Project Design & Engineering	\$3,500			
28. Contract Preparation	\$1,000			
29. Contract Administration	\$2,500			
30. Contract Cost		\$75,000		
31. Workforce Cost				
32. Materials & Supplies				
33. Monitoring				
34. Other				
35. Project Subtotal				
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multiple year projects)				
37. Total Cost Estimate	\$12,000	\$75,000	\$	\$

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding in Column C. Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)] None

### Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

39	<b>Monitoring</b>	Plan	(Sec 203)	(h)(6)
JJ.	14101111011112	1 lan	1000.400	$\mathbf{U}$

a.	What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project
	meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this
	monitoring item? Condition surveys are completed each year to evaluate facility health, safety
	and environmental concerns.

b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item? Facility provides multiple opportunities for youth employment from multiple businesses that make their living from the river (rafting, guiding, rentals, shuttle service, and other service providers). BLM monitors river use to determine trends in use and types of users.

c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item? On site visitor use surveys are conducted through out the operating season (March through November) to determine how well the facility improves use of National Public Lands.

d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)

**Amount: \$8,000.00**